

Time Dependent Density Functional Perturbation Theory for Magnetic excitations

Kun Cao
(Dated: May 18, 2015)

A. Noncollinear generalization of DFT

The noncollinear spin version of the Kohn-Sham equation can be written as

$$\left[\left(-\frac{\nabla^2}{2} + 2 \sum_{\alpha} \int \frac{\rho_{\alpha\alpha}(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|} d\mathbf{r}' \right) I + \tilde{v}(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{\delta E_{xc}}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r})} \right] \begin{pmatrix} \psi^1 \\ \psi^2 \end{pmatrix} = \epsilon_i \begin{pmatrix} \psi^1 \\ \psi^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

where I is 2×2 unit matrix. $\tilde{v}(\mathbf{r})$ and exchange-correlation potential become 2×2 matrix. The density matrix $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ can be written as

$$\rho_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_i \psi_i^{\alpha*}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_i^{\beta}(\mathbf{r}), \text{ where } \alpha, \beta = 1, 2 \quad (2)$$

which using Pauli matrix σ , can be decomposed into a scalar and a vectorial part corresponding to the charge and magnetization density:

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} (n(\mathbf{r})I + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r})) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} n(\mathbf{r}) + m_z(\mathbf{r}) & m_x(\mathbf{r}) - im_y(\mathbf{r}) \\ m_x(\mathbf{r}) + im_y(\mathbf{r}) & n(\mathbf{r}) - m_z(\mathbf{r}) \end{pmatrix}$$

Likewise, the potential matrix can then be written in the form of a scalar potential and a magnetic field $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})$

$$v\tilde{(\mathbf{r})} = v(\mathbf{r})I + \mu_B \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) \quad (3)$$

$$v_{xc}\tilde{(\mathbf{r})} = v_{xc}(\mathbf{r})I + \mu_B \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}_{xc}(\mathbf{r}) \quad (4)$$

where μ_B is the Bohr magneton. Finally, to simplify the notation, the noncollinear spin Kohn-Sham equation can be recast as,

$$\left[\left(-\frac{\nabla^2}{2} + V_{eff}(\mathbf{r}) \right) I + \mu_B \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}_{eff}(\mathbf{r}) \right] \vec{\psi}_i(\mathbf{r}) = \epsilon_i \vec{\psi}_i(\mathbf{r}) \quad (5)$$

Where V_{eff} is the total scalar potential and \mathbf{B}_{eff} is the total effective magnetic field.

B. Local approximation to exchange-correlation functional with noncollinear spin density

The collinear exchange-correlation functional is in the form of

$$E_{xc} = E_{xc}\{\rho_1, \rho_2\} = \int n(\mathbf{r}) \epsilon_{xc}[\rho_1(\mathbf{r}), \rho_2(\mathbf{r})] d\mathbf{r} \quad (6)$$

where $n(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_1(\mathbf{r}) + \rho_2(\mathbf{r})$. ρ_1, ρ_2 is the up and down spin density respectively. In the noncollinear spin case, $\rho_{\alpha\beta}$ is not necessarily diagonal. However, assume there is a unitary transformation, U , which can diagonalize it locally, i.e. for $i=1, 2$,

$$\sum_{\alpha\beta} U_{i,\alpha} \rho_{\alpha\beta} U_{\beta,i}^{\dagger} = \rho_i \quad (7)$$

with all quantities dependent on \mathbf{r} . U can be expressed in spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ rotation matrix with rotation angle θ and ϕ . Then the effective single-particle potential matrix can be written as,

$$W_{eff}(\mathbf{r}) = V_{eff}(\mathbf{r})I + \Delta V(\mathbf{r})\tilde{\sigma}_z \quad (8)$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}_z$ is the z component of the Pauli matrix in a coordinate system which is rotated by the polar angles θ and ϕ with respect to some global coordination system,

$$\tilde{\sigma}_z = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & e^{-i\phi} \sin \theta \\ e^{i\phi} \sin \theta & -\cos \theta \end{bmatrix}$$

By mapping to Eq. 5, the local effective magnetic field is expressed as

$$B_{eff}^z(\mathbf{r})\mu_B = \Delta V(\mathbf{r}) \cos \theta \quad (9)$$

$$B_{eff}^x(\mathbf{r})\mu_B = \Delta V(\mathbf{r}) \cos \phi \sin \theta \quad (10)$$

$$B_{eff}^y(\mathbf{r})\mu_B = \Delta V(\mathbf{r}) \sin \phi \sin \theta \quad (11)$$

$V_{eff}(\mathbf{r})$ is given by

$$V_{eff}(\mathbf{r}) = v(\mathbf{r}) + 2 \int \frac{n(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} d\mathbf{r}' + \frac{1}{2}[v_{xc1}(\mathbf{r}) + v_{xc2}(\mathbf{r})] \quad (12)$$

furthermore,

$$v_{xc i}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\delta E_{xc}}{\delta \rho_i} = \epsilon_{xc}(\rho_1, \rho_2) + n \frac{\partial \epsilon_{xc}}{\partial \rho_i} \quad (13)$$

and

$$\Delta V(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2}[v_{xc1}(\mathbf{r}) - v_{xc2}(\mathbf{r})] \quad (14)$$

we can see that when $\theta = \phi = 0$ holds globally, W_{eff} goes back to the form of collinear spin case.

C. First-order time dependent perturbation theory

If the perturbed wave function is written as $[\vec{\psi}_i(\mathbf{r}) + \delta \vec{\psi}_i(\mathbf{r}, t)]e^{-i\epsilon_i t}$, the equation for standard time dependent first-order perturbation theory is then

$$(H - i\partial_t I)\delta \vec{\psi}_i(\mathbf{r}, t) + (\delta V_{eff} I + \mu_B \delta \mathbf{B}_{eff} \sigma) \vec{\psi}_i(\mathbf{r}) = 0 \quad (15)$$

where $\delta \vec{\psi}_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is the first order change of the wave function, δV_{eff} and $\delta \mathbf{B}_{eff}$ are the first-order changes of effective electric potential and magnetic field due to the external perturbation. In frequency space, Eq. 15 is

$$(H - \epsilon_i + \omega)\delta \vec{\psi}_i(\mathbf{r}, \omega) + [\delta V_{eff}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) + \mu_B \sigma \delta \mathbf{B}_{eff}(\mathbf{r}, \omega)] \vec{\psi}_i(\mathbf{r}) = 0 \quad (16)$$

If we write the Bloch wave function as $\vec{\psi}_n^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \vec{u}_n^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$, then in the case of monochromatic perturbations $\delta B_{ext}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \delta \mathbf{b} e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} e^{i\omega t} e^{-\eta t} + c.c.$, Eq. 16 can be written as

$$(H^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} - \epsilon_i^{\mathbf{k}} + \omega)\delta \vec{u}_i^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) + [\delta V_{eff}^{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) + \mu_B \sigma \delta \mathbf{B}_{eff}^{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, \omega)] \vec{u}_i^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = 0 \quad (17)$$

where $\delta \vec{u}_i^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, \omega)$ is the periodic parts of $\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}$ Fourier component of the first order correction of the wave function, i.e. $\delta \vec{\psi}_n^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}) = e^{i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q})\cdot\mathbf{r}} \delta \vec{u}_n^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r})$. The effective potential is written as $\delta V_{eff}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{\mathbf{q}, \omega} \delta V_{eff}^{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) e^{i(\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r} + \omega t)}$ with the effective magnetic field in the same form. The Fourier components of first-order change of charge density can be written as:

$$\delta n^{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} [\vec{u}^{\mathbf{k}*} | I | \delta \vec{u}^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) + \delta \vec{u}^{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}*}(\mathbf{r}, -\omega) | I | \vec{u}^{\mathbf{k}}] \quad (18)$$

$$\delta n^{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, -\omega) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} [\vec{u}^{\mathbf{k}*} | I | \delta \vec{u}^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, -\omega) + \delta \vec{u}^{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}*}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) | I | \vec{u}^{\mathbf{k}}] \quad (19)$$

$$\delta n^{-\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, -\omega) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} [\vec{u}^{\mathbf{k}*} | I | \delta \vec{u}^{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, -\omega) + \delta \vec{u}^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}*}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) | I | \vec{u}^{\mathbf{k}}] = \delta n^{\mathbf{q}*}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) \quad (20)$$

$$\delta n^{-\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} [\vec{u}^{\mathbf{k}*} | I | \delta \vec{u}^{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) + \delta \vec{u}^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}*}(\mathbf{r}, -\omega) | I | \vec{u}^{\mathbf{k}}] = \delta n^{\mathbf{q}*}(\mathbf{r}, -\omega) \quad (21)$$

where * means complex conjugate. The first order change of magnetization follows the same set of equations with unit matrix I substituted by Pauli matrix σ .

In the presence of time reversal symmetry, e.g. paramagnetic system without external magnetic field, $u^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) = u^{-\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}^*}(\mathbf{r}, \omega)$. Eq. 18 can then be recasted as

$$\delta n^{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} [u^{\mathbf{k}*} \delta u^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) + \delta u^{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}^*}(\mathbf{r}, -\omega) u^{\mathbf{k}}] \quad (22)$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} [u^{\mathbf{k}*} \delta u^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) + \delta u^{-\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, -\omega) u^{-\mathbf{k}*}] \quad (23)$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} [u^{\mathbf{k}*} \delta u^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) + \delta u^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, -\omega) u^{\mathbf{k}*}] \quad (24)$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} u^{\mathbf{k}*} [\delta u^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) + \delta u^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, -\omega)] \quad (25)$$

Following the same logic, Eq. 19 can be recasted as

$$\delta n^{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, -\omega) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} [u^{\mathbf{k}*} \delta u^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, -\omega) + \delta u^{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}^*}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) u^{\mathbf{k}}] \quad (26)$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} [u^{\mathbf{k}*} \delta u^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, -\omega) + \delta u^{-\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) u^{-\mathbf{k}*}] \quad (27)$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} [u^{\mathbf{k}*} \delta u^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, -\omega) + \delta u^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) u^{\mathbf{k}*}] \quad (28)$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} u^{\mathbf{k}*} [\delta u^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) + \delta u^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, -\omega)] \quad (29)$$

$$= \delta n^{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) \quad (30)$$

Now Eq. 17 can be solved in the \mathbf{q} component of the effective potential with $\pm\omega$,

$$(H^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} - \epsilon_i^{\mathbf{k}} \pm \omega) \overrightarrow{\delta u}_i^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, \pm\omega) + [\delta V_{eff}^{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) + \mu_B \sigma \delta \mathbf{B}_{eff}^{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, \omega)] \overrightarrow{u}_i^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = 0 \quad (31)$$

However, in a general system with noncollinear spin density or with the presence of an external magnetic field, time reversal is broken. In this case, Eq. 17 could be solved using a set of two equations,

$$(H^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} - \epsilon_i^{\mathbf{k}} + \omega) \overrightarrow{\delta u}_i^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) + [\delta V_{eff}^{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) + \mu_B \sigma \delta \mathbf{B}_{eff}^{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, \omega)] \overrightarrow{u}_i^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = 0 \quad (32)$$

$$(H^{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}} - \epsilon_i^{\mathbf{k}} - \omega) \overrightarrow{\delta u}_i^{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, -\omega) + [\delta V_{eff}^{-\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, -\omega) + \mu_B \sigma \delta \mathbf{B}_{eff}^{-\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, -\omega)] \overrightarrow{u}_i^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = 0 \quad (33)$$

with the charge density response $\delta n^{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, \omega)$ and $\delta n^{-\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}, -\omega)$ calculated using Eq. 18 and Eq. 20 respectively.

D. Plane wave basis